

## Examinations Policy (General)

---

Policy Owner:	HoC
Local accountable officer:	HoC, Vikki Alden & EO, Ben Clark
Queries to:	HoC, Vikki Alden & EO, Ben Clark
Date of last review:	January 2026
Date of next review:	January 2027

### Contents

Purpose of the policy	3
Roles and responsibilities overview	3
Exam Contingency plan	8
Internal appeals procedures	8
Equalities policy	9
Complaints and appeals procedure	9
Child protection/safeguarding policy	9
Data protection policy	10
Whistleblowing policy	11
Access arrangements policy	11
Conflicts of interest	11
Centre Inspections	12
The Exam Cycle	15
Access Arrangements	16
Word processor policy (exams)	17
Separate invigilation within the centre policy	18
Non-examination assessment policy	19
Invigilation	21
Entries	22
Pre-exams	24
Briefing candidates	25
Access to scripts	25
Dispatch of exam scripts	26
Estimated grades	26
Internal assessment and endorsements	26
JCQ Inspections	27
Seating and identifying candidates in exam room	28
Security of exams	29
Cyber Security	30
Timetabling and rooming	31

Overnight supervision arrangements policy	31
Alternative site arrangements	32
Transferred candidate arrangements	33
Internal exams	33
Candidate absence procedure	34
Candidate late arrival	35
Conducting exams	36
Exam papers and materials	36
Exam rooms	36
Food and drink in exam rooms	37
Policy on candidates leaving the exam room temporarily	37
Emergency evacuation procedure	38
Irregularities	39
Managing behaviour in exam rooms procedure	39
Malpractice	40
Special consideration	41
Unauthorised items	41
Internal exams	42
Results and post-results: roles and responsibilities	42
Internal assessment	42
Managing results day(s)	42
Accessing results	43
Post-results services	43
Analysis of results	44
Certificate retention policy	44
Exams review	45
Retention of records	45
Exams Archiving policy	45
The use of AI	45
<b>Appendices:</b>	
Appendix 1: Appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)	46
Appendix 2: Word processor policy	55
Appendix 3: Non-examination assessment policy	60
Appendix 4: Emergency Evacuation Procedure for Examinations	83
Appendix 5: Special Considerations policy	87

Brunel School adheres to all JCQ regulations, including 'General Regulations for Approved Centres' and 'Instructions for Conducting Examinations'. This policy provides a summary of how the school meets these regulations and will be reviewed annually to ensure its alignment to annual JCQ regulation updates.

## Purpose of the policy

Brunel School is committed to ensuring that the exams management and administration process is run effectively and efficiently and in compliance with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements.

- ▶ This exam policy will ensure that:
- ▶ all aspects of the centre's exam process is documented, supporting the exams contingency plan, and other relevant exams-related policies, procedures and plans are signposted to
- ▶ the workforce is well informed and supported
- ▶ all centre staff involved in the exams process clearly understand their roles and responsibilities
- ▶ all exams and assessments are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body regulations, guidance and instructions, thus maintaining the integrity and security of the exam/assessment system at all times
- ▶ exam candidates understand the exams process and what is expected of them

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure ways of working in the centre are accurately reflected and that exams and assessments are conducted to current JCQ (and awarding body) regulations, instructions and guidance.

This policy will be communicated and brought to the attention of all those identified with roles and responsibilities within the policy via the school email and intranet.

## Roles and responsibilities overview

**The Head of Centre** is the individual who is accountable to the awarding bodies for ensuring that the centre is always compliant with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements to ensure the security and integrity of the examinations/assessments.

**The Examinations Officer** is the person appointed by the head of centre to act on behalf of, and be the main point of contact for, the centre in matters relating to the general administration of awarding body examinations and assessments.

**The Head of Centre may not appoint themselves as the examinations officer.** A head of centre and an examinations officer are two distinct and separate roles.

The head of centre and/or examinations officer may operate across more than one centre. In such cases the head of centre must ensure there is suitable support in place, so they can meet their obligations across all centres for which they are responsible. The head of centre must ensure that these arrangements are covered by their examination contingency plan. ([GR 2](#))

**The examinations assistant/administrator** supports the Exams Officer with certain administrative tasks at each stage of the exams cycle, such as sending letters to candidates and families, timetabling exams, preparing exam day materials, supporting invigilator training, etc.

## Head of Centre responsibilities

The head of centre is the individual who is accountable to the awarding bodies for ensuring that the centre is always compliant with published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements to ensure the security and integrity of the examination/assessments. **It is the responsibility of the head of centre to ensure that all staff comply with the instructions in this booklet.** Failure to do so may constitute malpractice as defined in the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures, 1 September 2022 to 31 August 2023*:

<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice>

For details about specific head of centre responsibilities, see sections 1, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 24, Appendix 1, section 6 and Appendix 8. (ICE Introduction)

## Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including:
  - [\*General regulations for approved centres\*](#) (GR)
  - [\*Instructions for conducting examinations\*](#) (ICE)
  - [\*Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments\*](#) (AA)
  - [\*Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures\*](#) (SM)
  - [\*Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments \(NEA\) \(and the instructions for conducting coursework\)\*](#)
  - [\*A guide to the special consideration process\*](#)
  
- ▶ Ensures the centre has appropriate accommodation to support the size of the cohorts being taught including appropriate accommodation for candidates requiring access arrangements and/or practical assessments
  
- ▶ Where/if using a third party to deliver any part of a qualification (including its assessments) at the centre:
  - Maintains oversight of, and responsibility for, the delivery of the qualification in accordance with JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements
  - Has in place a written agreement with the third party to ensure there is a shared understanding of the agreement and will manage the risk of failure by the third party to deliver the expected service
  - Ensures that a copy of the written agreement is available for inspection if requested by the awarding body.
  - Ensure that relevant members of staff respond promptly to actions raised by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, understanding that failure to do so could result in the same penalties as listed in the previous bullet point
  - Ensures that the centre promptly reports any incidents to the relevant awarding body/bodies which might comprise any aspect of assessment delivery such as a cyber-attack

- Ensure other relevant centre staff where they may be involved in the receipt and dispatch of confidential materials and briefed on the requirements for maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the exam materials.
- Ensure members of centre staff do not forward emails and letters from awarding body or JCQ personnel without prior consent to third parties or upload such correspondence onto social media sites and applications
- Ensures members of centre staff do not advise parents/candidates to contact awarding body examining/assessment personnel or JCW personnel.

### Recruitment, selection and training of staff

- ▶ Retains a workforce of an appropriate size and competence, including sufficient managerial and other resources, to undertake the delivery of the qualification as required by an awarding body. This includes taking reasonable steps to ensure occupational competence where this is required for the assessment of specific qualifications
- ▶ Provides fully qualified teachers to mark non-examination assessments, and/or fully qualified assessors for the verification of centre-assessed components
- ▶ Enables the relevant senior leader(s), the examinations officer (EO) and the ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo to receive appropriate training and support in order to facilitate the effective delivery of examinations and assessments within the centre, and ensure compliance with the published JCQ regulations
- ▶ Appoints a ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo who will determine appropriate arrangements for candidates with learning difficulties and disabilities
- ▶ Ensures that the ALS lead/SENCo has sufficient time to both manage the access arrangements process within the centre and familiarise him/herself with JCQ publication *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*
- ▶ Ensure that the examinations officer is line managed and actively supported by a member of the senior leadership team who has a good working knowledge of the examination system

### Internal governance arrangements

- ▶ Has in place a written escalation process should the head of centre, or a member of the senior leadership team with oversight of examination administration, be absent

#### Escalation Process

The Headteacher or Deputy Headteacher are available if either one is absent:  
 It is the responsibility of the **head of centre** to ensure that his/her centre... has in place a written escalation process should the head of centre, or a member of the senior leadership team with oversight of examination administration, be absent ([GR 5.3 Internal governance arrangements](#))

- ▶ Has in place a member of the senior leadership team who will provide support and guidance to the examinations officer and ensure that the integrity and security of examinations and assessments is maintained throughout an examination series
- ▶ Ensures centre staff undertake key tasks within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO
- ▶ Makes sure that a teacher, a tutor or a senior member of centre staff who teaches the subject being examined, is not an invigilator during the examination

#### Delivery of qualifications

- ▶ Delivers qualifications, as required by the awarding body, in accordance with relevant equality legislation. This includes but is not limited to ensuring that qualifications are made available to all candidates capable of undertaking them and seeking reasonable adjustments for disabled candidates
- ▶ Enables candidates to receive sufficient and up to date laboratory experience, or relevant training where required by the subject concerned

#### Public liability

- ▶ Complies with local health and safety rules which are in place and that the centre is adequately covered for public liability claims

#### Security of assessment materials

- ▶ Takes all reasonable steps to maintain the integrity of the examinations/assessments, including the security of all assessment materials, by ensuring:
  - the location of the centre's secure storage facility in a secure room which must only be used for the purpose of administering secure examination materials
  - the secure room only contains exam-related material
  - there are between two and six keyholders only, each of whom must fully understand their responsibilities as a key holder to the secure storage facility
  - access to the secure room and secure storage facility is restricted to the authorised two to six keyholders (must be permanent staff) and staff approved by the head of the centre are accompanied by a key holder at all times
  - the door to the secure room is closed when preparing exam materials
  - appropriate arrangements are in place to ensure that confidential examination materials are only handed over to authorised members of centre staff
  - Appropriate arrangements are in place for handling secure electronic materials
  - the relevant awarding body is immediately informed if the security of question papers or confidential supporting instructions is put at risk

- that when it is permitted to remove question paper packets from secure storage, and to avoid potential breaches of security, arrangements are in place to carefully check the record that the correct question paper packets are opened  
(If it is ever subsequently identified following this check that the wrong question paper packet has been opened, it will be released and the incident report to the relevant awarding body's Malpractice Investigation Team immediately)
- ▶ Makes arrangements to receive, check and store question papers and examination material safely and securely at all times and for as long as required in accordance with the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations
- ▶ Makes arrangements to receive and issue material received from the awarding bodies to staff and candidates, and notify them of any advice and instructions relevant to the examinations and assessments
- ▶ Allows candidates access to relevant pre-release materials on, or as soon as possible after, the date specified by the awarding bodies

### Malpractice

Through taking an ethical approach and working proactively to avoid malpractice among students and staff takes all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice/maladministration before, during and after assessments have taken place

- ▶ Ensures any person involved in administering, teaching or completing examinations/assessments is advised that where malpractice is suspected, or alleged, personal data about them will be provided to the awarding body (or bodies) whose examinations/assessments are involved. Personal data about them may also be shared with other awarding bodies, the qualifications regulator or professional bodies in accordance with the JCQ publications *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and procedures*  
Ensures irregularities are investigated and informs the awarding bodies immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation
- ▶ As required by an awarding body, ensures evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) is gathered in accordance with the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures* and provides such information and advice as the awarding body ,may reasonably require.

### Policies/procedures

- ▶ Ensures risks to the exam process are assessed and appropriate risk management processes/contingency plans are in place (that allow the senior leadership team to act immediately in the event of an emergency or staff absence)

#### Exam contingency plan

##### See policy: Exam Contingency Plan

It is the responsibility of the head of centre to ensure that his/her centre... has in place the following policies available for inspection... a written examination contingency plan which covers all aspects of examination administration. This will allow members of the senior leadership team to act immediately in the event of an emergency or staff absence. The examination contingency plan should reinforce procedures in the event of the centre being unavailable for examinations, or on results day, owing to an unforeseen emergency, as well as disruption such as cyber-attacks, etc ([GR 5.3](#)) **Policies available for inspection**

- ▶ Ensures an internal appeals procedures is in place and drawn to the attention of candidates and (where relevant) their parents/carers

#### Internal appeals procedures

##### See Appendix 1- Internal Appeals Procedure

The centre will... have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a **written** internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates... ([GR 5.7](#)) **Centre assessed work,**

...have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a **written** internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal... ([GR 5.13](#)) **Post-results services and appeals**

- ▶ Ensures the centre's equalities policy demonstrating the centre's compliance with relevant legislation is in place and details the processes followed in respect of identifying the need for, requesting and implementing access arrangements

#### Equalities policy

##### See policy: Equalities Policy

It is the responsibility of the **head of centre** to ensure that his/her centre... has in place the following policies available for inspection... a written equalities policy ...delivers qualifications, as required by the awarding body, in accordance with relevant equality legislation. This includes but is not limited to ensuring that

qualifications are made available to all candidates capable of undertaking them and seeking reasonable adjustments for disabled candidates

**The head of centre/senior leadership team will...** recognise its duties towards disabled candidates, including private candidates, as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010†. This **must** include a duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates;

†for any legislation in a relevant jurisdiction other than England and Wales which has an equivalent purpose and effect ([GR 5.3](#), 5.4) **Policies available for inspection, Equalities policy & access arrangements and reasonable adjustments policy.**

- ▶ Ensures a *complaints and appeals procedure* covering general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification is in place and drawn to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers

#### Complaints and Appeals Procedure (Exams)

**See Complaints and Appeals Procedure.**

The centre will... draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers their written complaints and appeals procedure which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification. ([GR 5.8](#))

**Candidate information**

- ▶ Ensures the centre has a child protection/safeguarding policy in place, including Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) clearance, which satisfies current legislative requirements

#### Child protection/safeguarding policy (Exams)

**See Brunel School safeguarding policy.**

It is the responsibility of the **head of centre** to ensure that his/her centre... has in place the following policies available for inspection... a written child protection/safeguarding policy, including Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) clearance, which satisfies current legislative requirements... ([GR 5.3](#)) **Policies available for inspection.**

- ▶ Ensures the centre has a data protection policy in place that complies with General Data Protection Regulation and Data Protection Act 2018 regulations

#### Data protection policy (Exams)

**See Brunel School Exams Data Protection Policy and GDPR Policy.**

It is the responsibility of the **head of centre** to ensure that his/her centre... has in place the following policies available for inspection... a written data protection policy ([GR 5.3](#)) **Policies available for inspection.**

The centre will... ensure that all candidate data where required by the awarding body has been supplied to the awarding bodies within the terms of the General Data Protection Regulation, the Data Protection Act 2018 and the Freedom of Information Act 2000, and that candidates have been properly informed that this data has been transferred to the awarding bodies (see section 6, for more information)... ([GR 5.8](#)) **Candidate information**

#### **Legislation on sharing information**

Under the principles of the General Data Protection Regulations 2018 and the Data Protection Act 2018, children and young adults can assume control over their personal information and restrict access to it from the age of 13.

This suggests that candidate consent should be sought to share results or other exams-related information with a third party.

However other legislation and guidance may need to be taken into account regarding sharing information with parents, as example information from the DfE for schools regarding parental responsibility and school reports on pupil performance:

- ▶ Understanding and dealing with issues relating to parental responsibility [www.gov.uk/government/publications/dealing-with-issues-relating-to-parental-responsibility/understanding-and-dealing-with-issues-relating-to-parental-responsibility](http://www.gov.uk/government/publications/dealing-with-issues-relating-to-parental-responsibility/understanding-and-dealing-with-issues-relating-to-parental-responsibility)
- ▶ School reports on pupil performance: guidance for headteachers [www.gov.uk/guidance/school-reports-on-pupil-performance-guide-for-headteachers](http://www.gov.uk/guidance/school-reports-on-pupil-performance-guide-for-headteachers)

#### **Publication of exam results**

Refer to ICO (Information Commissioner's Office) ) [Schools, universities and colleges](#) information and [Exam results](#)

- ▶ Ensure the centre has a whistleblowing policy in place

#### **Whistleblowing policy (Exams)**

##### **See Examinations Whistleblowing Policy.**

Whistleblowing at Brunel School is encouraged, not penalised, and staff are made aware that they have a duty to report any concerns they have about the conduct of examinations.

The head of centre and governing board at Brunel School aim to create and maintain an approach to examinations that reflects an ethical culture, and encourages staff

and students to be aware of and report practices that could compromise the integrity and security of examinations.

- Ensures the centre has documented processes in place relating to access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

### Access arrangements policy

#### See Access Arrangements Policy.

...The head of centre/senior leadership team will... have a **written** process in place to not only check the qualification(s) of their assessor(s) but that the correct procedures are followed as in Chapter 7 of the JCQ publication *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*... ([GR 5.4](#))

### Conflicts of interest

- ▶ Ensures the relevant awarding bodies are informed before the published deadline for entries for each examination series of any potential conflict of interest where:
  - a member of centre staff is taking a qualification at the centre which includes internally assessed components/units (noting that being entered by the centre must be as a last resort where unable to find an alternative centre)
  - a candidate is being taught and prepared for a qualification which includes internally assessed components/units by a member of centre staff with a close relationship to the candidate
  - Maintains clear records that confirm the measures taken/protocols in place to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected by the above, and where
  - a member of exams office staff has a close relationship to a candidate being entered for exams and assessments at the centre or at another centre
  - a member of centre staff is taking a qualification at the centre which does not include internally assessed components/units (noting that being entered by the centre must be as a last resort where unable to find an alternative centre)
  - a member of centre staff is taking a qualification at another centre.

#### Conflicts of Interest Policy (Exams)

...The head of centre/senior leadership team will... have a **written** process in place to not only check the qualification(s) of their assessor(s) but that the correct

procedures are followed as in Chapter 7 of the JCQ publication *Access*

*Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments...* ([GR 5.3](#)) **Conflicts of interest**

Qualifications of Teaching staff are stored on their personnel file. The Head of Centre must be confident in the member of staff's competency to meet the specification of qualifications, deliver the qualification material/content to pupils, and assess pupils' abilities and non-examination assessment. The Head of Centre must also be made aware of any conflicts of interest and will make informed decisions about the suitability of a staff member to lead, deliver, or support a subject area/qualification material, or to invigilate in examinations. Staff have a duty to inform the Head of Centre if they believe they have any conflicts of interest. This may include the examples listed above.

### Centre Inspections

- ▶ Provides contact details and an address to which all correspondence in connection with the administration of examinations and assessments can be directed which must be registered address of the centre.
- ▶ Ensures the National Centre Number Register annual update is responded to by the end of October
- ▶ Takes responsibility for confirming, on an annual basis, that they are both aware of and adhering to the latest version of the JQC's regulations. This confirmation is managed as part of the National Centre Number Register (NCNR) annual update
- ▶ Understands that this responsibility cannot be delegated to a member of the senior leadership team or the examinations officer, and acknowledges that failure to respond to the NCNR annual update, and/or the head of centre's declaration, will result in
  - The centre status being suspended
  - The centre not being able to submit examination entries
  - The centre not receiving or being able to access question papers and ultimately, awarding bodies could withdraw their approval of the centre
- ▶ Co-operates with the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, an awarding body or a regulatory authority when subject to an inspection, an investigation or an unannounced visit, and takes all reasonable steps to comply with all requests for information or documentation made by an awarding body or regulatory authority as soon as is practical
- ▶ Allows all venues used for examinations and assessments, paperwork and secure storage facilities to be open to inspection

- ▶ Understands the JCQ Centre Inspector will identify him/herself with a photo ID card and **must** be accompanied throughout his/her tour of the premises, including inspection of the centre's secure storage facility

### Exams officer

- ▶ Understands the contents of annually updated JCQ publications including:
  - [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#)
  - [Instructions for Conducting Examinations](#)
  - [Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures](#)
  - [Post-Results Services \(PRS\)](#)
  - [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
- ▶ Completes/submits the National Centre Number Register annual update (administered on behalf of the JCQ member awarding bodies by OCR <https://ocr.org.uk/administration/ncn-annual-update/>) by the end of October each year
  - Confirms the details or informs the awarding bodies of any changes to the centre's contact details through the National Centre Number Register
  - Informs the National Centre Number Register Team **immediately** (e-mail address – [ncn@ocr.org.uk](mailto:ncn@ocr.org.uk)) if any changes occur after the National Centre Number Register annual update has taken place
  - (Where it may be applicable) Informs the National Centre Number Register Team immediately of any changes in circumstances that could affect the centre's status
- ▶ Is familiar with the contents of annually updated information from awarding bodies on administrative procedures, key tasks, key dates and deadlines
- ▶ Ensures key tasks are undertaken and key dates and deadlines met
- ▶ Recruits, trains and deploys a team of internal/external invigilators; appoints lead invigilators, as required and keeps a record of the content of training provided to invigilators for the required period
- ▶ Works with the ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo to ensure invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates and those acting as a facilitator supporting access arrangement candidates fully understand the respective role and what is and what is not permissible in the exam room
- ▶ Supports the head of centre in ensuring that awarding bodies are informed (where required) of any conflict of interest declared by members of centre staff and in maintaining records that confirm the measures taken/protocols in place to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected before the published deadline for entries for each examination series

- ▶ Briefs other relevant centre staff where they may be involved in the receipt and dispatch of confidential exam materials on the requirements for maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the exam materials

### Senior Leaders

- ▶ Are familiar with the contents, refer to and direct relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including:
  - [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#)
  - [Instructions for Conducting Examinations](#)
  - [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
  - [Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures](#)
  - [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments \(and the instructions for conducting coursework\)](#)
  - [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
- ▶ Ensure teaching staff undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exam process (exam cycle) and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and ALS lead/SENDCo
- ▶ Ensure teaching staff keep themselves updated with awarding body subject and teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- ▶ Ensure teaching staff attend relevant awarding body training and update events.

### Additional Learning Support (ALS) lead/Special educational needs co-ordinator (ALS lead/SENCo)

- ▶ Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including:
  - [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
- ▶ Leads on the access arrangements and reasonable adjustments process (referred to in this policy as 'access arrangements')
- ▶ If not the qualified access arrangements assessor, works with the person appointed, on all matters relating to assessing candidates and ensures the correct procedures are followed
- ▶ Presents when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector, evidence of the assessor's qualification. **Qualification certificates are stored digitally on all teachers and assessor's personnel records.**

### Teaching staff

- ▶ Undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo
- ▶ Keep updated with awarding body subject and teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- ▶ Attend relevant awarding body training and update events

### Invigilators

- ▶ Attend/undertake training (on the current regulations), annual update, briefing and review sessions as required
- ▶ Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate
- ▶ Sign a confidentiality and security agreement and confirm whether they have any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions applied to them

### Reception staff

- ▶ Support the EO in the receipt and dispatch of confidential exam materials and follow the requirements for maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the exam materials

### Site staff

- ▶ Support the EO in relevant matters relating to exam rooms and resources

### Candidates

Where applicable in this policy, the term 'candidates' refers to candidates and/or their parents/carers.

## The Exam Cycle

The exams management and administration process that needs to be undertaken for each **exam series** is often referred to as the **exam cycle** and relevant tasks required within this grouped into the following stages:

- ▶ planning
- ▶ entries
- ▶ pre-exams
- ▶ exam time
- ▶ results and post-results

This policy identifies roles and responsibilities of centre staff within this cycle.

### Planning: roles and responsibilities

#### Information sharing

##### Head of centre

- ▶ Directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ publications including [GR](#), [ICE](#), [AA](#), [SM](#), [NEA \(and the instructions for conducting coursework\)](#) and [SC](#)

##### Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ publications and awarding body documentation relating to the exams process that has been updated

- ▶ Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ information that should be provided to candidates
- ▶ As the centre administrator, approves relevant access rights for centre staff to access awarding body secure extranet sites

## Information gathering

### Exams officer

- ▶ Undertakes an annual information gathering exercise in preparation for each new academic year to ensure data about all qualifications being delivered is up to date and correct
- ▶ Collates all information gathered into one central point of reference
- ▶ Researches awarding body guidance to identify administrative processes, key tasks, key dates and deadlines for all relevant qualifications
- ▶ Produces an annual exams plan of key tasks and key dates to ensure all external deadlines can be effectively met; informs key centre staff of internal deadlines

### Senior Leaders

- ▶ Responds (or ensures teaching staff respond) to requests from the EO on information gathering
- ▶ Meets the internal deadline for the return of information
- ▶ Informs the EO of any changes to information in a timely manner minimising the risk of late or other penalty fees being incurred by an awarding body
- ▶ Notes the internal deadlines in the annual exams plan and directs teaching staff to meet these

## Access arrangements

### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures there is appropriate accommodation for candidates requiring access arrangements in the centre for all examinations and assessments
- ▶ Ensures a written process is in place to not only check the qualification(s) of the appointed assessor(s) but that the correct procedures are followed as per Chapter 7 of the JCQ publication [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
- ▶ Ensures the ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo is fully supported in effectively implementing access arrangements and reasonable adjustments once approved

### ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo

- ▶ Assesses candidates (or works with the appropriately qualified assessor as appointed by the head of centre) to identify access arrangements/ reasonable adjustments requirements
- ▶ Gathers **evidence** to support the need for access arrangements for a candidate
- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to gather evidence of **normal way of working** of an affected candidate
- ▶ Determines candidate eligibility for arrangements or adjustments that are centre-delegated  
Gathers signed **Personal data consent**, forms from candidates where required and ensures **Data protection confirmation(s)** by the examinations officer or SENCo are completed
- ▶ Applies for **approval** through **Access arrangements online (AAO)** via the **Centre Admin Portal (CAP)**, where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO
- ▶ Keeps a file for each candidate for JCQ inspection purposes containing all the required documentation (if documentation is stored electronically, an e-folder must be created for each individual candidate. The candidate's e-folder must hold each of the required documents for inspection)
- ▶ Employs good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010
- ▶ Liaises with the EO regarding exam time arrangements for access arrangement candidates
- ▶ Ensures staff appointed to facilitate access arrangements for candidates are appropriately trained and understand the rules of the particular arrangement(s) and keeps a record of the content of training provided to facilitators for the required period
- ▶ Works with the EO to ensure invigilators and those acting as a facilitator fully understand the respective role and what is and what is not permissible in the exam room
- ▶ Liaises with the relevant member of the senior leadership team on the centre's policy on the use of word processors in examinations

### Word processor policy (exams)

A centre must have a policy on the use of word processors. A word processor cannot simply be granted to a candidate because their now wants to type rather than write in examinations or can work faster on a keyboard, or because their uses a laptop at home.

The use of a word processor must reflect the candidate's normal way of working within the centre. For example, where the curriculum is delivered electronically and the centre provides word processors to all candidates.

The centre may wish to set out the particular types of candidates which it considers would benefit from the use of a word processor. For example, a candidate with...

A member of the centre's senior leadership team must produce a statement for inspection purposes which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations.

(AA 5.8)

- ▶ Ensures criteria for candidates granted **separate invigilation within the centre** is clear, meets JCQ regulations and best meets the needs of individual candidates and remaining candidates in main exam rooms

### Separate Invigilation Policy

Separate invigilation is determined by the ALS lead/SENCo, in conjunction with relevant teaching staff and the exams officer personnel. JCQ are clear in that the decision to offer separate invigilation to candidates is the responsibility of the ALS lead/SENCo.

Due to the nature of our students and their complex social, emotional and mental difficulties, we seat students 1:1 where possible.

**Separate invigilation within the centre** (sitting the examination outside of the main examination hall/room e.g. a room for a smaller group of candidates)

The ALS lead/SENCo must make their decision based on:

- whether the candidate has a substantial and long term impairment which has an adverse effect; and
- the candidate's normal way of working within the centre.

For example, in the case of separate invigilation, the candidate's difficulties are established within the centre (see Chapter 4, paragraph 4.1.4) and known to a Form Tutor, a Head of Year, the ALS lead/SENCo or a senior member of staff with pastoral responsibilities.

Separate invigilation reflects the candidate's normal way of working in internal school tests and mock examinations as a consequence of a long term medical condition or long term social, mental or emotional needs. (AA 5.16)

The ALS lead/SENCo, or an equivalent member of staff within a FE college, must ensure that the proposed access arrangement does not disadvantage or advantage the candidate. (AA 4.2.1)

A candidate may only take their examinations under separate invigilation within the centre where they have an **established difficulty** - see **section 5.16** of the JCQ publication *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments...*

Where candidates are subject to separate invigilation within the centre, the regulations and guidance within this booklet must always be adhered to. This is

particularly so in relation to accommodation and invigilation arrangements (see sections 11 and 12). (ICE 14)

### Senior Leaders, Teaching staff

Support the ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo in determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements/reasonable adjustments

- ▶ Provide a statement for inspection purposes which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations

### Internal assessment and endorsements

#### Head of centre

#### Controlled assessments, coursework and non-examination assessments

- ▶ Ensures arrangements are in place to co-ordinate and standardise all marking of centre- assessed components and ensures that candidates' centre-assessed work is produced, authenticated and marked, or assessed and quality assured in accordance with the awarding bodies' instructions (including where relevant, private candidates)
- ▶ Ensures that teaching staff, in accordance with awarding bodies' instructions, return all subject-specific forms by the required date  
Provides fully qualified teachers to mark non-examination assessments, and/or fully qualified assessors for the verification of centre-assessed components
- ▶ Ensures an **internal appeals procedure** relating to internal assessment decisions is in place for a candidate (or parent/carer) to appeal against and request a review of the centre's marking (see Roles and responsibilities overview)
- ▶ Ensures a **non-examination assessment policy** is in place for GCE and GCSE qualifications which include components of non-examination assessment

#### Non-examination assessment policy

##### See Appendix 3

The centre will... have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a **written** policy with regard to the management of GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments; (For CCEA GCSE centres this would be a **written** controlled assessments policy.) (GR 5.7)

The JCQ requires each centre to have a non-examination assessment policy in place:

- to cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments;
- to define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments;
- to manage risks associated with non-examination assessments.

A JCQ Centre Inspector will ask the examinations officer to confirm that such a policy is in place. The guidance provided in this document will help the head of centre to ensure that

the centre's policy is fit for purpose. The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment.

Additionally, each centre must have available for inspection an internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions. ([NEA 1](#))

- ▶ Ensures any irregularities relating to the production of work by candidates are investigated and dealt with internally if discovered prior to a candidate signing the authentication statement (where required) or reported to the awarding body if a candidate has signed the authentication statement

### Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure teaching staff have the necessary and appropriate knowledge, understanding, skills, and training to set tasks, conduct task taking, and to assess, mark and authenticate candidates' work (including where relevant, private candidates)
- ▶ Ensure appropriate internal moderation, standardisation and verification processes are in place
- ▶ Ensure teaching staff delivering AQA Applied General qualifications, OCR Cambridge Nationals, Entry Level Certificate or Project qualifications (and CCEA GCE unitised AS and A-level qualifications) follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting coursework](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensure teaching staff delivering reformed GCE & GCSE specifications (which include components of non-examination assessment) follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body
- ▶ For other qualifications, ensure teaching staff follow appropriate instructions issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensure teaching staff inform candidates of their centre assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

### Teaching staff

- ▶ Ensure appropriate instructions for conducting internal assessment are followed
- ▶ Ensure candidates are aware of JCQ and awarding body information for candidates on producing work that is internally assessed (coursework, non-examination assessments, social media) prior to assessments taking place
- ▶ Ensure candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

### Exams officer

- ▶ Identifies relevant key dates and administrative processes that need to be followed in relation to internal assessment

- ▶ Signposts teaching staff to relevant JCQ [Information for candidates documents](#) that are annually updated

### Invigilation

#### **Head of centre**

- ▶ Ensures relevant support is provided to the EO in recruiting, training and deploying a team of invigilators
- ▶ Ensures, if contracting supply staff to act as invigilators, that such persons are competent and fully trained, understanding what is and what is not permissible
- ▶ Determines if additional invigilators will be deployed in timed Art exams in addition to the subject teacher to ensure the supervision of candidates is maintained at all times

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Recruits additional invigilators where required to effectively cover all exam periods/series' throughout the academic year
- ▶ Collects information on new recruits to identify if they have invigilated previously and if any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions are applied to them
- ▶ Provides training for new invigilators on the current instructions for conducting exams and an annual update for the existing invigilation team so that they are aware of any changes in a new academic year before they are allocated to invigilate an examinations
- ▶ Ensures invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates understand their role (and the role of a facilitator who may be supporting a candidate) and the rules and regulations of the access arrangement(s)
- ▶ Ensures invigilators are briefed on the access arrangement candidates in their exam room and made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded (ensuring these candidates are identified on the seating plan) and confirms invigilators understand what is and what is not permissible
- ▶ Collects evaluation of training to inform future events

### **Entries: roles and responsibilities**

#### Estimated entries

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Requests estimated or early entry information, where this may be required by awarding bodies, from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met

## Estimated entries collection and submission procedure

The EO will request estimated or early entry information, where this may be required by awarding bodies, from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met

- ▶ Makes candidates aware of the JCQ **Information for candidates – Privacy Notice** at the start of a course leading to a vocational qualification or when entries are being processed for a general qualification

### Senior Leaders

- ▶ Provides entry information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- ▶ Informs the EO immediately of any subsequent changes to entry information

## Final entries

### Exams officer

- ▶ Requests final entry information from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met. HoDs are required to complete an Entries form for the Exams Officer prior to the specified deadline.
- ▶ Informs HoDs of subsequent deadlines for making changes to final entry information without charge
- ▶ Confirms with HoDs final entry information that has been submitted to awarding bodies
- ▶ Ensures as far as possible that entry processes minimise the risk of entries or registrations being missed reducing the potential for late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies

Observes each awarding body's terms and conditions for the entry and withdrawal of candidates for their examinations and assessments, and observes any regulatory requirements for the qualifications

## Final entries collection and submission procedure

EO sends out entry sheet to all HoD's and requests that they are returned in plenty of time for final entries to be submitted. EO will submit final entries via EDI to meet the awarding body deadlines.

### Senior leaders

- ▶ Provides information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- ▶ Informs the EO immediately, or at the very least prior to the deadlines, of any subsequent changes to final entry information, which includes

- changes to candidate personal details
- amendments to existing entries
- withdrawals of existing entries
- Checks final entry submission information provided by the EO and confirms information is correct

### Entry fees

Entry fees are from exam budget. However, some fees may be charged to the relevant department but this is reviewed on a case by case basis. Students are not charged for their exam entry or resit fees.

### Late entries

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Has clear entry procedures in place to minimise the risk of late entries
- ▶ Charges any late or other penalty fees to departmental budgets

#### **Senior Leaders**

- ▶ Minimises the risk of late entries by
  - following procedures identified by the EO in relation to making final entries on time
  - meeting internal deadlines identified by the EO for making final entries

### **Candidate statements of entry**

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Provides candidates with statements of entry for checking

#### **Teaching staff**

- ▶ Ensure candidates check statements of entry and return any relevant confirmation required to the EO

#### **Candidates**

- ▶ Confirm entry information is correct or notify the EO of any discrepancies

## **Pre-exams: roles and responsibilities**

### Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

### ALS lead/ALS lead/SENCo

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access to exams/assessments for candidates where they are disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act (unless a temporary emergency arrangement is required at the time of an exam)
- ▶ Ensures a candidate is involved in any decisions about arrangements, adjustments and /or adaptations that may be put in place for him/her
- ▶ Ensures exam information (JCQ information for candidates documents, individual exam timetable etc.) is adapted where this may be required for a disabled candidate to access it
- ▶ Allocates appropriately trained centre staff to facilitate access arrangements for candidates in exams and assessments (ensuring that the facilitator appointed meets JCQ requirements and fully understands the rule of the access arrangement)
- ▶ Where relevant, ensures the necessary and appropriate steps are undertaken to gather an appropriate picture of need and demonstrate normal way of working for a private candidate (including distance learners and home educated candidates) and that the candidate is assessed by the centre's appointed assessor

## Briefing candidates

### Exams officer

- ▶ Issues individual exam timetable information to candidates and informs candidates of any designated contingency day awarding bodies may identify in the event of national or local disruption to exams
- ▶ Prior to exams issues relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ Where relevant, issues relevant awarding body information to candidates
- ▶ Issues centre exam information to candidates including information on:
  - ▶ exam timetable clashes
  - ▶ arriving late for an exam
  - ▶ absence or illness during exams
  - ▶ what equipment is/is not provided by the centre
  - ▶ food and drink in exam rooms
  - ▶ unauthorised items in exam rooms
  - ▶ when and how results will be issued and the staff that will be available
  - ▶ the post-results services information and how the centre will deal deals with requests from candidates
  - ▶ when and how certificates will be issued

## Access to scripts, reviews of results and appeals procedures

### See Appendix 1: Internal Appeals Procedure

As a suggestion **only**, procedures might detail how candidates are informed (before they sit their exams) of

- when, where and with whom they will have the opportunity to discuss their results
- the arrangements for post-results services, including
- how they request a service
- when they will be informed of
  - the services that will be made available by the awarding bodies
  - the deadlines that have to be met
  - the fees that will be charged
- how they are informed of the outcome of their request
- the internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

The centre will... have in place written procedures for how it will deal with candidates' requests for access to scripts, clerical re-checks, reviews of marking, reviews of moderation and appeals to the awarding bodies. Details of these procedures must be made widely available and accessible to all candidates. Candidates must be made aware of the arrangements for post-results services before they sit any examinations and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff immediately after the publication of results... ([GR 5.13](#))

The centre will... treat all candidates equally, including private candidates, throughout the examination process. This would also extend to post-results services and appeals ([GR 5.6](#))

## Dispatch of exam scripts

### Exams officer

- ▶ Identifies and confirms arrangements for the dispatch of candidate exam scripts with the DfE (STA) 'yellow label service' or the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of the service

## Estimated grades

### Senior Leaders

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff provide estimated grade information to the EO by the internal deadline (where this still may be required by the awarding body)

### Exams Officer

- ▶ Submits estimated grade information to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline (where this may still be required by the awarding body)
- ▶ Keeps a record to track what has been sent

## Internal assessment and endorsements

### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures procedures are in place for candidates to appeal internal assessment decisions and make requests for reviews of marking

### ALS lead/SENCo

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements

### Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the ALS lead/SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements
- ▶ Assess and authenticate candidates' work
- ▶ Assess endorsed components
- ▶ Ensure candidates are informed of centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to awarding bodies

### Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff assess and authenticate candidates' work to the awarding body requirements
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff assess endorsed components according to awarding body requirements
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff provide marks for internally assessed components and grades for endorsements of qualifications to the EO to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff provide required samples of work for moderation and sample recordings for monitoring to the EO to the internal deadline

### Exams officer

- ▶ Submits marks, endorsement grades and samples to awarding bodies/moderators/monitors to meet the external deadline
- ▶ Keeps a record to track what has been sent
- ▶ Logs moderated samples returned to the centre
- ▶ Ensures teaching staff are aware of the requirements in terms of retention and subsequent disposal of candidates' work

### **Candidates**

- ▶ Authenticate their work as required by the awarding body

### **Invigilation**

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Provides an annually reviewed/updated invigilator handbook to invigilators, trains new invigilators on the current regulations on appointment and updates experienced invigilators on an annual basis of any regulation changes and any changes to centre-specific processes
- ▶ Deploys invigilators effectively to exam rooms throughout an exam series (including the provision of a roving invigilator where a candidate and invigilator [acting as a practical assistant, prompter, reader or scribe] are accommodated on a 1:1 basis to enter the room at regular intervals in order to observe the conducting of the exam, ensure all relevant rules are being adhered to and to support the practical assistant/reader and/or scribe in maintaining the integrity of the exam)
- ▶ Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to a timetable clash) according to the required ratios
- ▶ Liaises with the ALS lead/SENCo regarding the facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

#### **ALS lead/SENCo**

- ▶ Liaises with the EO regarding facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

#### **Invigilators**

- ▶ Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate throughout an exam series

## **JCQ Centre Inspections**

#### **Exams officer or Senior leader**

- ▶ Will accompany the Inspector throughout a visit

ALS lead/SENCo or relevant Senior leader (in the absence of the ALS lead/SENCo)

- ▶ Will meet with the inspector when requested to provide documentary evidence regarding access arrangement candidates and address any questions, the inspector may raise
- ▶ Ensures that information is readily available for inspection at the venue where the candidate is taking the exam(s)

## Seating and identifying candidates in exam rooms

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures a procedure is in place to verify candidate identity including private candidates

### Candidate Identification Procedure

As we are a very small Centre, we are able to identify each student.

The centre will... verify the identity of all students that they enter for examinations or assessments. The centre must be satisfied that all candidate identities have been checked, whether as part of the initial registration process, or in the case of private candidates through a verification process which involves photo-ID ([GR 5.6](#))

The centre will... have in place written procedures to verify the identity of all candidates at the time of the examination or assessment... ([GR 5.9](#))

Invigilators must establish the identity of all candidates sitting examinations.

A private/external candidate or a transferred candidate who is not known to the school or college must show photographic documentary evidence to prove that their is the same person who entered/registered for the examination/assessment, e.g. passport or photographic driving licence.

Where it is impossible to identify a candidate due to the wearing of religious clothing, such as a veil, the candidate should be approached by a member of staff of the same gender and taken to a private room where they should be politely asked to remove the religious clothing for identification purposes. Centres must inform candidates in advance of this procedure and well before their first examination.

Once identification has been established, the candidate should replace, for example, their veil and proceed as normal to sit the examination.

Invigilators must be informed of those candidates with access arrangements and must be made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded. ([ICE 16](#))

- ▶ Ensures invigilators are aware of the procedure

- ▶ Provides seating plans for exam rooms according to JCQ and awarding body requirements (and ensures candidates with access arrangements are identified on the seating plan and invigilators are informed of those candidates with access arrangements and made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded)

### **Invigilators**

- ▶ Follow the procedure for verifying candidate identity provided by the EO
- ▶ Seat candidates in exam rooms as instructed by the EO/on the seating plan

### **Security of exam materials**

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Confirms appropriate arrangements are in place to ensure that confidential materials are only handed over to those authorised by the head of centre.
- ▶ Ensures access to the secure room is restricted and staff name approved by the head of centre are accompanied by a key holder at all times. There must be between two and six key holders only, each of whom must fully understand their responsibilities as a key holder to the secure facility
- ▶ Has a process in place to demonstrate the receipt, secure movement and secure storage of confidential exam materials within the centre
- ▶ Ensures a log is kept at the initial point of delivery recording confidential materials received and signed for by authorised staff within the centre and that appropriate arrangements are in place for confidential materials to be immediately transferred to the secure storage facility until they can be removed from the dispatch packaging and checked in the secure room before being returned to the secure storage facility in timetable order
- ▶ Carefully checks question paper packets when they are removed from the dispatch packing keeps a log of the check
- ▶ Ensures the secure storage facility contains only current and live confidential material (ensuring that past examination question papers, internal tests and mock examinations are not kept in the centre's secure storage facility)
- ▶ Ensures that examination stationery, e.g. answer booklets and formula booklets are stored in the secure room (attempting to store this material in the secure storage facility, when sufficient space allows)
- ▶ Ensures the integrity and security of any electronic question paper is maintained during the downloading, printing and collating process (ensuring printing is carried out in an area that can be controlled to prevent unauthorised personnel accessing live assessment materials and ensuring only authorised members of centre staff have access to electronic question papers)

### Reception staff

- ▶ Follow the process to log confidential materials delivered to/received by the centre to the point materials are issued to authorised staff for transferal to the secure storage facility

### Teaching staff

- ▶ Adhere to the process to record the secure movement of confidential materials taken from or returned to secure storage throughout the time the material is confidential

## Cyber Security Policy

### Scope

This policy applies to all staff who have access to Brunel School's IT systems and data, with particular focus placed upon those members of staff who are involved in the management, administration and conducting of examinations and assessments.

### Review

A designated member of the Senior Leadership Team will carry out annual evaluation of this policy, incorporating updates as required to remain abreast of new technologies, threat developments, and industry best practices.

### Policy

At Brunel School, the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of our information assets, IT systems, and the personal data of students, staff, and stakeholders are of paramount importance.

This policy establishes our comprehensive cyber security framework, delineates the duties and accountabilities of all relevant parties, and ensures strict adherence to JCQ regulations, the Data Protection Act 2018, the UK General Data Protection Regulation, and the statutory guidance detailed in *Keeping Children Safe in Education*.

This Cyber Security Policy details the measures taken at Brunel School to mitigate the risk of cyber threats under the following sections:

1. Roles and responsibilities
2. Complying with JCQ regulations
3. Cyber security best practice
4. Account management best practice
5. Training

The senior leadership team recognises the need for staff involved in the management, administration and conducting of examinations to play a critical role in maintaining and improving cyber security at Brunel School. This includes ensuring that all members of centre staff who access awarding bodies' online systems undertake annual cyber security training.

In addition to adhering to industry best practices, the school ensures that members of the exams team protect their individual digital assets by:

- Cyber Security Awareness and Training
- Device Security and Asset Register

- Creating strong, unique passwords
- Keeping all account details secret
- Enabling additional security settings wherever possible
- Updating any passwords that may have been exposed
- Setting up secure account recovery options
- Reviewing and managing connected applications
- Staying alert for all types of social engineering/phishing attempts
- Monitoring accounts and reviewing account access regularly

Security measures are in place including:

- Firewalls and network security controls
- Anti-virus and anti-malware software on all devices
- Regular software updates and patch management
- Secure data backup and tested recovery procedures
- Encryption for sensitive and personal data
- Multi-factor authentication (MFA) for critical systems and remote access
- Secure configuration and monitoring of cloud services (e.g., Office 365, Google Workspace).
- Prompt removal of access for leavers
- They and all staff involved in the management, administration and conducting of examinations/assessments stay informed about the latest security threats and trends in account security.
- Staff within the exams team are educated on how to identify phishing attempts, use secure devices and how to protect systems and data by completing annual Cyber Security training.

The centre will promptly report any incidents to the relevant awarding body/bodies which might comprise any aspect of assessment delivery such a cyber-attack. Please see the school's **Contingency Policy** for more information about procedures for preventing and managing cyber-attacks.

## Timetabling and rooming

### Exams officer

- ▶ Produces a master centre exam timetable for each exam series
- ▶ Identifies and resolves candidate exam timetable clashes only applying overnight supervision arrangements as a last resort)
- ▶ Identifies and resolves candidate exam timetable clashes according to the regulations (only applying overnight supervision arrangements as a last resort, once all other options have been exhausted and according to the centre's policy)

## Overnight supervision arrangements policy

As a small unit, we are unlikely to require overnight supervision.

Overnight supervision arrangements should only be applied as a last resort and once all other options have been exhausted...

...candidates may, at the centre's discretion, be allowed to take an examination the following morning, including Saturdays (see paragraph 8.5). Candidates are not allowed to take examinations on an earlier day than that scheduled on the timetable...

The overnight supervision arrangements must ensure that the candidate does not have advance warning of the content of the examination deferred until the following morning. This means the candidate must not meet or communicate with anyone who may have knowledge of the content. This includes any form of electronic communication/storage device, e.g. telephone (both landline and mobile), e-mail, internet and social media. It also extends to television and radio, which could report key details of the day's examinations.

The JCQ Overnight Supervision and Overnight Supervision Declaration forms must be completed before the overnight supervision is to commence. The JCQ Overnight Supervision form is completed online using the Centre Admin Portal (CAP). The JCQ Overnight Supervision Declaration form is downloaded from the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) for signing by the candidate, the supervisor and the head of centre. (ICE 8)

- ▶ Identifies exam rooms and specialist equipment requirements
- ▶ Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to a timetable variation) according to required ratios
- ▶ Liaises with site staff to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements
- ▶ Liaises with the ALS lead/SENCo regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates

### ALS lead/SENCo

- ▶ Liaises with the EO regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates
- ▶ Liaises with other relevant centre staff to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

### Site staff

- ▶ Liaise with the EO to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

### Alternative site arrangements

**SEE SECTION 11 OF OUR CONTINGENCY PLAN FOR OUR NAMED ALTERNATIVE VENUE.**

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ (Where/if applicable to the centre) Ensures question papers will only be taken to an alternative site where the published criteria for an alternative site arrangement has been met
- ▶ Will inform the JCQ Centre Inspection Service to timescale by submitting a JCQ Alternative Site arrangement notification using CAP (or through the awarding body where a qualification may sit outside the scope of CAP) of any alternative sites that will be used to conduct timetabled examination components of the qualifications listed in the JCQ regulations

### Transferred candidate arrangements

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ (Where/if applicable to centre) Liaises with the host or entering centre, as required
- ▶ Processes requests for Transferred Candidate arrangements using CAP to the awarding body deadline (or through the awarding body where a qualification may sit outside the scope of CAP)

### Internal exams

#### **Exams officer**

Prepares for the conduct of internal exams under external conditions (Where/if applicable to centre)

- ▶ Provides a centre exam timetable of subjects and rooms
  - ▶ Provides seating plans for exam rooms
  - ▶ Requests internal exam papers from teaching staff
- Arranges invigilation (Where/if applicable to centre)

#### **ALS lead/SENCo**

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to make appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

#### **Teaching staff**

- ▶ Provide exam papers and materials to the EO

- ▶ Support the ALS lead/SENCo in making appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

## Exam time: roles and responsibilities

### Access arrangements

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Provides cover sheets for access arrangement candidates' scripts where required for particular arrangements
- ▶ Has a process in place to deal with emergency/temporary access arrangements as they arise at the time of exams
  - applies for approval through AAO where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO

#### Candidate absence

### Candidate absence procedure

Every effort will be made to ensure that candidates arrive on time for their exam.

On main exam days students who do not arrive by taxi may be collected.

If a candidate is not in school 15 minutes before the start of the exam then a member of SLT/teaching staff/reception will:-

- contact the candidate to confirm they are on their way into school.
- advise the EO/Invigilator of any details about the situation. I.e., if the candidate is late or absent for the examination.
- a member of staff may be allocated to collect and chaperone the student onto site.
- ensure that a candidate's mobile phone is switched off and not in use after the published start time of the exam. Mobile phones must be handed to the invigilator before entering the exam room.
- if the candidate is late then inform the EO as additional rooming/invigilation may be required to be set up.  
if the candidate is absent for any other reason then the EO needs to be informed of the details of the candidate's absence and special considerations will be applied for as appropriate.
- persistent absentees will be discussed with Tutors, Head of Centre and SLT.
- candidates are aware of what they need to do if they are likely to be absent from an exam
- staff involved in the exams process understand how absent candidates who have not contacted the centre regarding their absence will be managed at the time of the exam

- the centre can demonstrate the policy if asked/challenged by a candidate (and/or parent/carer)

Once candidates are seated and have started the examination, complete the attendance register. This will allow for the identification of absent candidates who can be contacted as to their whereabouts. (ICE 22)

### Invigilators

- Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with absent candidates through training
- Ensure that confirmed absent candidates are clearly marked as such on the attendance register and seating plan

### Candidates

- ▶ Are re-charged relevant entry fees for unauthorised absence from exams

#### Candidate behaviour

See *Irregularities* below.

#### Candidate belongings

See *unauthorised items* below.

#### Candidate late arrival

### Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures that candidates who arrive very late for an exam are reported to the awarding body by submitting a report on candidate admitted very late to examination room using CAP to timescale
- ▶ Warns candidates that their script may not be accepted by the awarding body

### Invigilators

- ▶ Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with late/very late arrival candidates through training
- ▶ Ensure that relevant information is recorded on the exam room incident log

### Candidate late arrival procedure

A candidate who arrives after the start of the exam:

- may be allowed to enter the examination room and sit the exam but will remain chaperoned on site whilst the situation is being reviewed by the EO.
- will be allowed the full time for the examination.
- the HoC/EO must be informed so assess what is the least disruptive course of action to take i.e., different exam room to those who have already started the examination.

- will be considered very late if they arrive more than one hour after the original published start time of the exam. The EO will have to submit a JCQ Very late candidate arrival form detailing the reason, supervision and the security of the examination along with a centre statement of events.
- Will need a parent/carer statement to go with the JCQ very late candidate arrival form confirming that the candidate did not have access to their mobile phone/web enabled sources

A candidate who arrives after the start of the examination may be allowed to enter the examination room and sit the examination. This is entirely at the discretion of the centre and will be considered on a case by case basis.

A candidate who arrives late, and is permitted by the centre to sit the examination, must be allowed the full time for the examination. ([ICE 21](#))

## Conducting exams

### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures venues used for conducting exams meet the requirements of JCQ and awarding bodies

### Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures exams are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body instructions
- ▶ Uses an *exam day checklist* to ensure each exam session is fully prepared for, unplanned events can be dealt with and associated follow-up is completed

## Exam papers and materials

### Exams officer

- ▶ Organises exam question papers and associated confidential resources in date order in the secure storage facility
- ▶ Attaches erratum notices received to relevant sealed question paper packets
- ▶ Collates attendance registers and examiner details in date order
- ▶ Regularly checks mail or email inbox for updates from awarding bodies
- ▶ In order to avoid potential breaches of security, ensures care is taken to ensure the correct question paper packets are opened by ensuring a member of centre staff, additional to the person removing the papers from secure storage, e.g. an invigilator, checks the day, date, time, subject, unit/component and tier of entry, if appropriate, immediately before a question paper packet is opened
- ▶ Ensures this additional/second check is recorded
- ▶ Where allowed by the awarding body, only releases exam papers and materials to teaching departments for teaching and learning purposes **a minimum of 24 hours**

after the published finishing time of the exam, or until any timetable clash candidates have completed the exam

## Exam rooms

### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures that internal tests, mock exams, revision or coaching sessions are not conducted in a room 'designated' as an exam room
- ▶ Ensures that when a room is 'designated' as an exam room it is not used for any purpose other than conducting external exams
- ▶ Ensures only approved centre staff (who have not taught the subject being examined) are present in exam rooms to perform permitted tasks
- ▶ Ensures the centre's policy relating to food and drink that may be allowed in exam rooms is clearly communicated to candidates
- ▶ Ensures the centre's policy on candidates leaving the exam room temporarily is clearly communicated to candidates

### Food and drink in exam rooms

Water is provided for all students, upon request, in clear plastic cups/bottles. If students do take their own bottles into the examination, these are free from all labels. Students are made aware of this.

No food is permitted into examination rooms unless there is a medical need which we will require medical evidence for.

### Procedure on candidates leaving the exam room temporarily

Any students who require the need to leave the examination room temporarily are always accompanied by a member of centre staff. If the student is 1:1 then the invigilator will accompany them.

Candidates who are allowed to leave the examination room temporarily must be accompanied by a member of centre staff. This must not be the candidate's subject teacher or a subject expert for the examination in question. Those candidates may be allowed extra time at the discretion of the centre to compensate for their temporary absence. (ICE 23)

- ▶ candidates are aware of the centre's arrangements where time may or may not be compensated for any temporary absence from the exam room
- ▶ staff involved in the exams process understand how this will be managed at the time of the exam

- ▶ the centre can demonstrate the policy if asked/challenged by a candidate (and/or parent/carer)

### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Ensures exam rooms are set up and conducted as required in the regulations
- ▶ Provides invigilators with appropriate resources to effectively conduct exams
- ▶ Briefs invigilators on exams to be conducted on a session by session basis (including the arrangements in place for any transferred candidates and access arrangement candidates)
- ▶ Ensures sole invigilators have an appropriate means of summoning assistance (if this is a mobile phone, instructs the invigilator that the mobile phone is only used for this specific purpose and that it must be kept on silent mode)
- ▶ Ensures invigilators understand they must be vigilant and remain aware of incidents or emerging situations, looking out for malpractice or candidates who may be in distress, recording any incidents or issues on the exam room incident log
- ▶ Ensures invigilators understand how to deal with candidates who may need to leave the exam room temporarily and how this should be recorded on the exam room incident log
- ▶ Provides authorised exam materials which candidates are not expected to provide themselves
- ▶ Ensures invigilators and candidates are aware of the emergency evacuation procedure
- ▶ Ensures invigilators are aware of arrangements in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated

### **Senior leaders**

- ▶ Ensure a documented emergency evacuation procedure for exam rooms is in place
- ▶ Ensure arrangements are in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated

## Emergency evacuation policy (Exams)

### See Appendix 4 – Emergency Evacuation Procedure for examinations

When dealing with emergencies, staff must be aware of the centre's policy and, where appropriate, any instructions from relevant local or national agencies.

Reference should also be made to the following document:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/bomb-threats-guidance/procedures-for-handling-bomb-threats>

Centres must have a written centre policy for dealing with an emergency evacuation of the examination room, which will be subject to inspection by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service. ([ICE 25](#))

[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1iefIQKAdYftB48sHxDbO9a8c-FVEuNAr\\_irgGdgOVIM/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1iefIQKAdYftB48sHxDbO9a8c-FVEuNAr_irgGdgOVIM/edit?usp=sharing)

### Site staff

- ▶ Ensure exam rooms are available and set up as requested by the EO
- ▶ Ensure grounds or centre maintenance work does not disturb exam candidates in exam rooms
- ▶ Ensure fire alarm testing does not take place during exam sessions

### Invigilators

- ▶ Conduct exams in every exam room according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations* and/or awarding body requirements and as instructed by the centre in training/update and briefing sessions

### Candidates

- ▶ Are required to follow the instructions given to them in exam rooms by authorised centre staff and invigilators
- ▶ Are required to remain in the exam room for the full duration of the exam, unless under exceptional circumstances and supervised at all times until the end of the exam.

### Irregularities

#### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures (as required by an awarding body) any cases of alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration before, during or after examinations/assessments (by centre staff, candidates, invigilators) are investigated and reported to the awarding body **immediately**, by completing the appropriate documentation

▶ **Managing behaviour in exam rooms procedure (Exams)**

*“The head of centre has the authority to remove a candidate from the examination room, but should only do so if the candidate would disrupt others by remaining in the room.”*

Due to the disruptive nature of some of the students we, where possible, seat students 1:1 to avoid any disruption to individuals and other students and minimise malpractice.

Where a candidate is being disruptive, the invigilator must warn the candidate that they may be removed from the examination room. The candidate must also be warned that the awarding body will be informed and may decide to penalise them, which could include disqualification.

The invigilator must record what has happened...

The head of centre has the authority to remove a candidate from the examination room, but should only do so if the candidate would disrupt others by remaining in the room. ([ICE 24](#))

**Senior leaders**

- ▶ Ensure support is provided for the EO and invigilators when dealing with disruptive candidates in exam rooms
- ▶ Ensure that internal disciplinary procedures relating to candidate behaviour are instigated, when appropriate

**Exams officer**

- ▶ Provides an exam room incident log in all exam rooms for recording any incidents or irregularities
- ▶ Actions any required follow-up and reports to awarding bodies as soon as practically possible after the exam has taken place

**Invigilators**

- ▶ Record any incidents or irregularities on the exam room incident log (for example, late/very late arrival, candidate or centre staff suspected malpractice, candidate illness or needing to leave the exam room temporarily, disruption or disturbance in the exam room, emergency evacuation)

**Malpractice**

See *Irregularities* above.

**Special Consideration**

**Senior leaders**

- ▶ Provide signed evidence to support eligible applications for special consideration

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Processes eligible applications for special consideration to awarding bodies
- ▶ Gathers evidence which may need to be provided by other staff in centre or candidates
- ▶ Submits requests to awarding bodies to the external deadline

#### Special Consideration Policy

See appendix 5

#### Candidates

- ▶ Provide appropriate evidence to support special consideration applications, where required

#### Unauthorised items

##### Arrangements for unauthorised items taken into the exam room

No unauthorised items are permitted in any of the examination rooms. All personal belongings are left in reception or the EO office. All students are re-checked before entering the examination room.

**Unauthorised items include:** AirPods, earphones/buds, Ipods, mobile phones, MP3/4 players or similar devices, watches, smart glasses, tablets and any other smart device.

In the examination room candidates must not have access to items other than those stated in the instructions on the question paper, the stationery list or the specification for that subject.

Potential technological/web enabled sources of information such as... are not permitted... ideally, all unauthorised items are left outside of the examination room... any pencil cases taken into the examination room must be see-through... any unauthorised items that have been taken into the examination room must be placed out of reach of the candidates (and not under their desks) before the examination starts. This would normally be at the front of the examination room or a similar arrangement that enables the invigilator to control access to the items... If candidates have access to unauthorised items in the examination room this may be considered as malpractice. They could be subject to penalties in accordance with the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures...*

Prior to the examination starting, the invigilator must ensure that candidates have removed their wrist watches, placing them on their desks.

A head of centre may, if their so wishes, prohibit candidates bringing a wrist watch into the examination room. In this case candidates would be required to leave their watches outside of the examination room. ([ICE 18](#))

#### **Invigilators:**

- ▶ Are informed of the arrangements through training

#### **Internal exams**

##### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Briefs invigilators on conducting internal exams
- ▶ Returns candidate scripts to teaching staff for marking

##### **Invigilators**

- ▶ Conduct internal exams as briefed by the EO

### **Results and post-results: roles and responsibilities**

#### **Internal assessment**

##### **Senior leaders**

- ▶ Ensures teaching staff keep candidates' work, whether part of the moderation sample or not, secure and for the required period stated by JCQ and awarding bodies
- ▶ Ensures work is returned to candidates after the retention period or disposed of according to the requirements

#### **Managing results day(s)**

##### **Senior leaders**

- ▶ Identify centre staff who will be involved in the main summer results day(s) and their role
- ▶ Ensures senior members of staff are accessible to candidates immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed and decisions made on the submission of any requests for post-results services enquiries and ensures candidates are informed of the periods during which centre staff will be available so that they may plan accordingly

##### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Works with senior leaders to ensure procedures for managing the main summer results day(s) (a results day programme) are in place

## Results day programme

Students are invited in, by letter, to collect their results between 9am and 11am. Examination Officer is onsite and available for any queries. All information regarding appeals etc. are enclosed within the results.

### Site staff

- ▶ Ensure the centre is open and accessible to centre staff and candidates, as required for the collection of results

### Accessing results

#### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures results are kept entirely confidential and restricted to key members of staff until the official dates and times of release of results to candidates
- ▶ Understands that it is not permitted to withhold provisional results from candidates under any circumstances

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Informs candidates in advance of when and how results will be released to them for each exam series
- ▶ Accesses results from awarding bodies under restricted release of results, where this is provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Resolves any missing or incomplete results with awarding bodies
- ▶ Issues statements of results to candidates on issue of results date
- ▶ Provides summaries of results for relevant centre staff on issue of results date

## Post-results services

### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures an **internal appeals procedure** is available where candidates disagree with any centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- ▶ Ensures that senior members of centre staff are available immediately after the publication of results
- ▶ Understands that if the centre has concerns about one of its component/subject cohorts, then requests for reviews of marking should be submitted for all candidates believed to be affected (candidate consent is required as marks and subject grades may be lowered, confirmed or raised)

### Exams officer

- ▶ Provides information to candidates and staff on the services provided by awarding bodies and the fees charged (see also above *Briefing candidates* and *Access to scripts, Reviews of Results and Appeals Procedures*)
- ▶ Publishes internal deadlines for requesting the services to ensure the external deadlines can be effectively met
- ▶ Provides a process to record requests for services and to collect candidate informed consent (**after** the publication of results) and fees where relevant
- ▶ Submits requests to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline for the particular service
- ▶ Tracks requests to conclusion and informs candidates and relevant centre staff of outcomes
- ▶ Updates centre results information, where applicable

#### Teaching staff

- ▶ Meet internal deadlines to request the services and gain relevant candidate informed consent
- ▶ Identify the budget to which fees should be charged

#### Candidates

- ▶ Meet internal deadlines to request the services
- ▶ Provide informed consent and fees, where relevant

#### Analysis of results

Following the publication of results, the HOC (unless delegated to the EO) will:

- ▶ Provides analysis of results to appropriate centre staff
  - ▶ Provides results information to external organisations where required
- Undertakes the DfE School and College Checking Exercises (where applicable to the centre) <https://tableschecking.education.gov.uk>

#### Certificates

Certificates are provided to centres by awarding bodies after results have been confirmed. The process for issuing certificates to candidates is:

#### Certificate Issue and Retention Policy

The EO will write to all students after certificates have been received informing them to collect or send a representative with a letter of authorisation to collect. Uncollected certificates are currently kept on site.

Brunel School will comply with their GDPR policy. All relevant members of staff will be trained in GDPR.

#### Candidates

- ▶ May arrange for certificates to be collected on their behalf by providing the EO with written or email permission/authorisation; authorised persons must provide ID evidence on collection of certificates
- ▶ Will ensure that the certificate(s) are signed for upon collection.

### Exams review: roles and responsibilities

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Provides SLT with an overview of the exam year, highlighting what went well and what could be developed/improved in terms of exams management and administrative processes within the stages of the exam cycle
- ▶ Collects and evaluates feedback from staff, candidates and invigilators to inform an exams review
- ▶ Write a report following an annual review meeting with the HoC and act on agreed actions for the following series.

#### Senior leaders

- ▶ Work with the EO to produce a plan to action any required improvements identified in the review

### Retention of records: roles and responsibilities

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Keeps records as required by JCQ and awarding bodies for the required period
- ▶ Keeps records as required by the centre's records management policy
- ▶ Provides an exams archiving policy that identifies information held, retention period and method of disposal

#### Exams archiving policy

Exams archiving will be actioned in line with the exam board post-results services and the resolution of outstanding enquiries of appeals.

All exams paperwork will be held in the exams office or under secure storage as deemed appropriate.

At the end of the retention period data/information will be confidentially shredded.

Brunel School will comply with their GDPR policy. All relevant members of staff will be trained in GDPR.

## The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) policy

This section has been added in 2023 due to the rise in the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)

The misuse of AI to write materials for exams and assessments is strictly prohibited and considered malpractice. Candidates must produce their own original content from their own independent thinking, for all examination component(s), including coursework and assessments.

Teachers will remind students and parents of this where necessary and where malpractice has occurred, the candidate will be reported to the relevant awarding body. The Exam Officer will include the misuse of AI in their warning/malpractice letter to candidates and parents at the beginning of an exam series.

Students who have access to a Word Processor during an examination will be supervised at all times to prevent the misuse of AI.

Where the misuse of AI is suspected, the Examinations Officer will liaise with IT department to audit the candidate's internet search history. Searches containing 'AI', 'ChatgPT', 'Chatzero' and/or 'OpenAI' would indicate that AI may have been used (this list is not exhaustive)

Where candidates may have utilised AI such as to find sources of content, they must have permission to do so from their teacher (and Exam Officer if necessary) and they must show clearly how they have used it.

The use of Artificial Intelligence software is disabled for on screen assessments of use of Work Processors during examinations and NEA.

### Appendix 1.

#### 1. Appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Certain GCSE, GCE and other qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by Brunel School and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

This procedure confirms [Centre Name's] compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres 2020-2021 (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates

- before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking

**Submission deadlines for non-exam assessment marks can be found on the school website.**

Brunel School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Brunel School ensures that all centre staff follow a robust *Non-examination assessment policy* (for the management of GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments, including the marking and quality assurance/internal standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Brunel School is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the mark scheme to his/her marking, then they may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

1. Brunel School will ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
2. Brunel School will inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of their work in meeting the published assessment criteria
3. Brunel School will inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (generally as a minimum, a copy their marked assessment material (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment
4. Brunel School will, having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (or for some marked assessment materials, such as

art work and recordings, inform the candidate that these will be shared under supervised conditions) within 10 calendar days

5. Brunel School will inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material unless supervised
6. Brunel School will provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be
7. Brunel School will provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within 7 calendar days of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the **internal appeals form**
8. Brunel School will allow 14 calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks
9. Brunel School will ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review.
10. Brunel School will instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
11. The candidate will be informed in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding bodies may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

The procedure is informed by the JCQ publications [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) (6.1), [Review of marking \(centre assessed marks\) suggested template for centres.](#) and [Notice to Centres -Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks](#)

## **2. Appeals against the centre's decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal**

This procedure confirms Brunel School's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres 2021-2022 (section 5.13) that the centre will:

- *have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal*

Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. All details of how to do this are enclosed with the examination results.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.

Reviews of Results (RoRs):

- Service 1 (Clerical re-check)  
This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests)
- Service 2 (Review of marking)
- Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)  
This service is only available for externally assessed components of GCE A-level specifications (an individual awarding body may also offer this priority service for other qualifications)
- Service 3 (Review of moderation)  
This service is not available to an individual candidate

Access to Scripts (ATS):

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark

schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.

For written components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

1. Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking
2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:
  - a) (where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a priority copy of the candidate's script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline or
  - b) (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate's marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate
3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access his/her script
4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking
5. Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified]
6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted
7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a university or college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body

Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

For any moderated components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:

- Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation
- Consult the moderator's report/feedback to identify any issues raised
- Determine if the centre's internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available

- Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of all candidates in the original sample

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate they may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of his/her script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample]

If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the **internal appeals form** at least 14 days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications Post-Results Services and JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the HoC is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the JCQ Appeals Booklet. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 10 days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body

within the required 30 calendar days of receiving the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

**Internal Appeals form**

<b>FOR CENTRE USE ONLY</b>	
Date received	
Reference No.	

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes on the form below

- Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking
- Appeal against the centre’s decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

<b>Name of appellant</b>		<b>Candidate name if different to appellant</b>	
<b>Awarding body</b>		<b>Exam paper code</b>	
<b>Qualification type</b>		<b>Exam paper title</b>	
<b>Subject</b>			
<p><b>Please state the grounds for your appeal below</b></p>   <p><i>(If applicable, tick below)</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre's marking</p> <p><i>If necessary continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed</i></p>			
Appellant signature:		Date of signature:	

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

### Complaints and Appeals log

On receipt, all appeals will be assigned a reference number and logged.

The outcome of any reviews of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre and will be logged as a complaint. A written record will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request. The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review – this will be noted on this log.]



- Notice to Centres – informing candidates of their centre assessed marks  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>

#### **Ofqual publications**

- GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions>
- GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements>

## Appendix 2 – Word Processor Policy

This policy is reviewed and updated annually on the publication of updated JCQ regulations and guidance on access arrangements and instructions for conducting exams.

References in this policy to AA and ICE relate to/are directly taken from the [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2020-2021](#) and [Instructions for Conducting Examinations 2020-2021](#) publications.

### Introduction

The use of a word processor in exams and assessments is an available access arrangement.  
(AA 4.2.1)

The purpose of an access arrangement is to ensure, where possible, that barriers to assessment are removed for a disabled candidate preventing him/her from being placed at a substantial disadvantage as a consequence of persistent and significant difficulties.

The integrity of the assessment is maintained, whilst at the same time providing access to assessments for a disabled candidate.

(AA 4.2.2)

Although access arrangements are intended to allow access to assessments, they cannot be granted where they will compromise the assessment objectives of the specification in question.

(AA 4.2.3)

Candidates may not require the same access arrangements in each specification. Subjects and their methods of assessments may vary, leading to different demands of the candidate. ALS lead/SENCOs must consider the need for access arrangements on a subject-by-subject basis.

(AA 4.2.1)

The Additional Learning Support lead/SENCO must ensure that the proposed access arrangement does not disadvantage or advantage a candidate.

(AA 4.2.7)

The candidate must have had appropriate opportunities to practise using the access arrangement(s) before their first examination.

## Purpose of the policy

This policy details how Brunel School complies with AA chapter 4 (Managing the needs of candidates and principles for centres), section 5.8 (Word processor) and ICE (sections 14.20-25) when awarding and allocating a candidate the use of word processor in examinations.

The term 'word processor' is used to describe for example, the use of a computer, laptop or tablet.

## The use of a word processor

The centre will

- ▶ allocate the use of a word processor to a candidate with the spelling and grammar check/predictive text disabled (switched off) where it is their normal way of working within the centre (AA 5.8.1)
- ▶ award the use of a word processor to a candidate where appropriate to their needs  
For example, a candidate with:
  - a learning difficulty which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on his/her ability to write legibly
  - a medical condition
  - a physical disability
  - a sensory impairment;
  - planning and organisational problems when writing by hand
  - poor handwriting (AA 5.8.4)
- ▶ only permit the use of a word processor where the integrity of the assessment can be maintained (AA 4.2.1)
- ▶ not grant the use of a word processor where it will compromise the assessment objectives of the specification in question (AA 4.2.2)
- ▶ consider on a subject-by-subject basis if the candidate will need to use a word processor in each specification (AA 4.2.3)
- ▶ provide the use of a word processor to candidates in non-examination assessment components as standard practice unless prohibited by the specification (AA 5.8.2)

The centre will not

- ▶ simply grant the use of a word processor to a candidate because they now want to type rather than write in exams or can work faster on a keyboard, or because they use a laptop at home (AA 5.8.4)

## Exceptions

The only exceptions to the above where the use of a word processor would be considered for a candidate would be

- ▶ in the event of a temporary injury or impairment, or a diagnosis of a disability or manifestation of an impairment relating to an existing disability arising after the start of the course (AA 4.2.4)
- ▶ where the curriculum is delivered electronically and the centre provides word processors to all candidates (AA 5.8.4)

## Arrangements at the time of the assessment for the use of a word processor

Where possible the majority of our students are seated 1:1. Sometimes there will be more than one student with a laptop in the same room.

In compliance with the regulations the centre:

- provides a word processor with the spelling and grammar check facility/predictive text disabled (switched off) to a candidate where it is their normal way of working within the centre, unless an awarding body's specification says otherwise (ICE 14.20)
- (where a candidate is to be seated with the main cohort without the use of a power point) checks the battery capacity of the word processor before the candidate's exam to ensure that the battery is sufficiently charged for the entire duration of the exam (ICE 14.21)
- ensures the candidate is reminded to ensure that their centre number, candidate number and the unit/component code appear on each page as a header or footer e.g. 12345/8001 – 6391/01 (ICE 14.22)

If a candidate is using the software application Notepad or WordPad these do not allow for the insertion of a header or footer. In such circumstances once the candidate has completed the examination and printed off their typed script, they are instructed to handwrite their details as a header or footer. The candidate is supervised throughout this process to ensure that they are solely performing this task and not re-reading their answers or amending their work in any way.

- ensures the candidate understands that each page of the typed script must be numbered, e.g. page 1 of 6 (ICE 14.23)
- ensures the candidate is reminded to save their work at regular intervals. (or where possible, an IT technician will set up 'auto save' on each laptop/tablet (or where possible, an IT technician will set up 'autosave' on each laptop/tablet to ensure that if there is a complication or technical issue, the candidate's work is not lost) (ICE14.24)
- instructs the candidate to use a minimum of 12pt font and double spacing in order to make marking easier for examiners (ICE 14.24)

(ICE 14.25)

The centre will ensure the word processor

- Is only used in a way that ensures a candidate's script is produced under secure conditions
- is not used to perform skills which are being assessed
- is not connected to an intranet or any other means of communication
- is in good working order at the time of the exam
- is accommodated in such a way that other candidates are not disturbed and cannot read the screen
- is used as a typewriter, not as a database, although standard formatting software is acceptable and is not connected to an intranet or any other means of communication
- is cleared of any previously stored data
- does not give the candidate access to other applications such as a calculator (where prohibited in the examination), spreadsheets etc.
- does not include graphic packages or computer aided design software unless permission has been given to use these
- does not have any predictive text software or an automatic spelling and grammar check enabled unless the candidate has been permitted a scribe or is using speech recognition technology (a scribe cover sheet must be completed), or the awarding body's specification permits the use of automatic spell checking
- does not include speech recognition technology unless the candidate has permission to use a scribe or relevant software
- is not used on the candidate's behalf by a third party unless the candidate has permission to use a scribe

### **Portable storage medium**

(ICE 14.25)

The centre will ensure that any portable storage medium (e.g. a memory stick) used

- ▶ is provided by the centre
- ▶ is cleared of any previously stored data

### **Printing the script after the exam is over**

(ICE 14.25)

The centre will ensure

- ▶ the word processor is either connected to a printer so that a script can be printed off, or have the facility to print from a portable storage medium
- ▶ the candidate is present to verify that the work printed is their own
- ▶ a word processed script is attached to any answer booklet which contains some of the answers
- ▶ where an awarding body requires a cover sheet to be completed this is included with the candidate's typed script (according to the relevant awarding body's instructions)

## The criteria Brunel School uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations

*A member of the centre's senior leadership team must produce a statement for inspection purposes which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations."* [AA 5.8]

The 'normal way of working' for exam candidates, as directed by the head of centre, is that candidates handwrite their exams. An exception to this is where a candidate may have an approved access arrangement in place, for example the use of a scribe/speech recognition technology.

### **Awarding word processors**

There are also exceptions where a candidate may be **awarded** the use of a word processor in exams where there has a firmly established need, it reflects the candidate's normal way of working and by not being awarded a word processor would be at a substantial disadvantage to other candidates.

Exceptions might include where a candidate has, for example:

- ▶ a learning difficulty which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on their ability to write legibly
- ▶ a medical condition
- ▶ a physical disability
- ▶ a sensory impairment
- ▶ planning and organisational problems when writing by hand
- ▶ poor handwriting

### **Allocating word processors**

Appropriate exam-compliant word processors will be **allocated** by the IT department in liaison with the ALS lead/SENCo and the exams officer. In exceptional circumstances where the number of appropriate word processors may be insufficient for the cohort of candidates approved to use them in an exam session, the cohort will be split into two groups. One group will sit the exam earlier than or later than the published start time. The security of the exam will be maintained at all times and candidates will be supervised in line with section 2.11 of ICE.

## Appendix 3 – Non-examination assessment policy

### What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- Set by awarding body
- Designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- Taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

Is classified as a non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'.

(JCQ [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#), Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [NEA](#)

### Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- ▶ *cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments*
- ▶ *define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments*
- ▶ *manage risks associated with non-examination assessments*

*The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment*

[\[NEA 1\]](#)

### What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;

- task taking;
- task marking. ([NEA 1](#))

## Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

### The basic principles

- ▶ **Head of centre**
- ▶ Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of [NEA](#)
- ▶ Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment
- ▶ Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking
- ▶ (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

### Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with [NEA](#) and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- ▶ Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- ▶ Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- ▶ Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- ▶ Ensures [NEA](#) and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- ▶ Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in [NEA](#)
- ▶ Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- ▶ Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication [NEA](#) to relevant centre staff
- ▶ Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

### **Task setting**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- ▶ Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

### **Issuing of tasks**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- ▶ Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- ▶ Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

## Task taking

### Supervision

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- ▶ Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ▶ Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#) and [Information for candidates - Social Media](#)
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates documents

### Advice and feedback

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- ▶ Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- ▶ When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- ▶ Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- ▶ Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- ▶ Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

### Resources

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks

- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- ▶ Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

### Collaboration and group work

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- ▶ Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ▶ Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- ▶ Assesses the work of each candidate individually

### Authentication procedures

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ▶ ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - ▶ signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- ▶ Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ▶ Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- ▶ Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in [NEA](#) and informs a member of the senior leadership team

- ▶ Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

### Presentation of work

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- ▶ Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in [NEA](#) unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

### Keeping materials secure

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- ▶ When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- ▶ Follows secure storage instructions as defined in [NEA 4.8](#)
- ▶ Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- ▶ Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- ▶ If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- ▶ If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
  
- ▶ Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates – Social Media)
- ▶ Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- ▶ Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may

be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

### **IT Manager**

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- ▶ Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- ▶ Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- ▶ Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

## **Task marking – externally assessed components**

### **Conduct of externally assessed work**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- ▶ Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examination
- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting examinations*

### **Submission of work**

#### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable

- ▶ Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- ▶ Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- ▶ Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- ▶ Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- ▶ Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

### Task marking – internally assessed components

#### Marking and annotation

##### Head of centre

- ▶ Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- ▶ Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the same moderation sample

##### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

##### Subject teacher

- ▶ Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marketing process
- ▶ Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- ▶ Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process

- ▶ Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

### Internal standardisation

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- ▶ Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- ▶ Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by
  - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
  - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- ▶ Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- ▶ Marks to common standards
- ▶ Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

### Consortium arrangements

#### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Ensures a consortium coordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- ▶ If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected
- ▶ Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

- ▶ Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Where the centre is the consortium lead
  - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work to the awarding body through via the awarding body's Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
  - submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
  - liaises with other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

#### **Submission of marks and work for moderation**

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- ▶ Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- ▶ Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

##### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted, to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors

- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Ensures that for postal moderation
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- ▶ Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- ▶ Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- ▶ Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- ▶ In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- ▶ If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

##### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### External moderation – the process

##### **Subject teacher**

- ▶ Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- ▶ Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- ▶ Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

## External moderation – feedback

### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- ▶ Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

### Exams officer

- ▶ Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- ▶ Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

## Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

### Subject teacher

- ▶ Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

### Additional Learning Support (ALS lead)/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#) in relation to non-examination assessments including [Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills](#)
- ▶ Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- ▶ Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- ▶ Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- ▶ Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

## Special consideration and loss of work

### Subject teacher

- ▶ Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
  - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
  - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
  - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to [Form 15 – JCQ/LCW](#) and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

#### Malpractice

##### Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- ▶ Is familiar with the JCQ publication [Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures](#)
- ▶ Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

##### Subject teacher

- ▶ Is aware of the JCQ [Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice](#)
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#)
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document [Information for candidates - Social Media](#)
- ▶ Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

### Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts the JCQ publication [Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures](#) to the head of centre
- ▶ Signposts the JCQ [Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work](#) to subject heads
- ▶ Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

### Post-results services

#### Head of centre

- ▶ Is familiar with the JCQ publication [Post-Results Services](#)
- ▶ Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal

#### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

#### Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- ▶ Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for review of moderation to the internal deadline

#### Exams officer

- ▶ Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication [Post Results Services \(Information and guidance to centres...\)](#)
- ▶ Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- ▶ Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

### Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

### Head of centre

- ▶ Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

### Subject head/lead

- ▶ Confirms understanding of the *Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England* and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- ▶ Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

### Subject teacher

- ▶ Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- ▶ Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- ▶ Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

### Exams officer

- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades recordings

## Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	<i>Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</i></li></ul>	EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - <a href="http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments">http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments</a></li> </ul>	
Candidate malpractice	<p>Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>submit work which is not their own</li> <li>make available their work to other candidates through any medium</li> <li>allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</li> <li>assist other candidates to produce work</li> <li>use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</li> <li>submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</li> <li>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</li> </ul> <p>Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates – Social Media - <a href="https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents">https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents</a> and understand they must not post their work on social media</p>	EO
<b>Task setting</b>		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	<p>Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course</p> <p>IT systems checked prior to key date</p> <p>Alternative IT system used to gain access</p> <p>Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details</p>	SLT/EO
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	<p>Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc.</p> <p>Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification</p> <p>Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task</p>	SLT
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	<p>A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates</p> <p>Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria</p>	SLT

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria</i>	
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	<i>See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle</i>	
<b>Issuing of tasks</b>		
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	<i>Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching</i>	SLT
The wrong task is given to candidates	<i>Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i>	SLT
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	<i>See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle</i>	
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	<i>Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample</i>	SLT/EO
<b>Task taking</b>		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	<i>Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar</i>	SLT
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	<i>Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)</i>	SLT
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	<i>Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy</i>	SLT

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	<i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i>	SLT/EO
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate</i>	SLT/ALS lead/SEN Co
<b>Advice and feedback</b>		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work</i>	SLT
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage</i>	SLT
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	<i>An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body</i>	SLT/EO
Candidate does not reference information from published source	<i>Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i>	SLT/EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</i>	
Candidate does not set out references as required	<i>Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</i>	SLT
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	<i>A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up</i>	SLT
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	<i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place</i>	EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	<i>The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate</i>	SLT/EO
<b>Resources</b>		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	<i>Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions</i>	SLT
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	<i>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i>	SLT
<b>Word and time limits</b>		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	<i>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood</i>	SLT

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	<i>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i>	SLT/EO
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment  Candidate plagiarises other material	<i>Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body</i>	SLT/EO
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	<i>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</i>	SLT
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures</i>	SLT
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	<i>Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</i>	SLT
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	<i>Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage</i>	SLT/EO
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	<i>Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required</i>	SLT/EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
<b>Task marking – externally assessed components</b>		
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	<p><i>Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</i></p> <p><i>Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>access to this material is restricted (insert how)</i></li> <li>• <i>appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection)</i></li> <li>• <i>an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up)</i></li> </ul> <p><i>any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)</i></p>	SLT/EO
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	<p><i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate</i></p> <p><i>If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate</i></p>	SLT
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	<p><i>The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register</i></p>	SLT/EO
<b>Task marking – internally assessed components</b>		
A candidate submits little or no work	<p><i>Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body</i></p> <p><i>Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body</i></p>	SLT
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	<p><i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work</i></p>	SLT
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	<p><i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work</i></p>	SLT/EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered	<p><i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed</i></p> <p><i>Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed</i></p>	SLT/EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed</i>	
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	<p><i>A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series</i></p> <p><i>Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not</i></p>	SLT
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	<p><i>Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted</i></p> <p><i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension</i></p>	SLT/EO
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	<p><i>Awarding body is contacted for guidance</i></p> <p><i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates</i></p>	SLT/EO
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	<p><i>Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body</i></p> <p><i>Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks</i></p> <p><i>Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process</i></p> <p><i>Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks</i></p> <p><i>Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body</i></p>	SLT/EO
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	<p><i>Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course</i></p> <p><i>Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood</i></p> <p><i>Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met</i></p>	SLT/EO

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	<i>Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i>	
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	<i>Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year  Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach  Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers  Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i>	SLT
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	<i>See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)</i>	

## Appendix 4 – Emergency Evacuation Procedure for Examinations

### Key staff involved in the emergency evacuation policy/procedure

#### Purpose of the policy

This policy details how Brunel School deals with an emergency evacuation of the exam room(s) by defining staff roles and responsibilities and confirming the emergency evacuation procedure.

#### When is an emergency evacuation required?

An emergency evacuation is required where it is unsafe for candidates to remain in the exam room. This might include a fire in the exam room, the fire alarm sounding to warn of fire, bomb alert or other serious threat.

In exceptional situations, where candidates might be severely disadvantaged or distressed by remaining in the exam room, the emergency evacuation procedure may also need to be followed. This might include situations where there is severe disruption in the exam room, serious illness of a candidate or invigilator or similarly serious incidents.

As each incident may be different, advice will be sought from the relevant awarding body as soon as it is safe to do so, particularly where the centre is concerned about the security of the examination(s). (ICE 25.4)

Where candidates are unable to return to the building to complete the examination, the relevant awarding body will be contacted immediately for advice. The awarding bodies have procedures in place to ensure that candidates are not disadvantaged where they are unable to complete the examination due to circumstances beyond their control. (ICE 24.5)

### Emergency evacuation of an exam room

#### Roles and responsibilities

##### Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures the emergency evacuation policy for exams is fit for purpose and complies with relevant health and safety regulation
- ▶ Ensures any instructions from relevant local or national agencies are referenced and followed where applicable, including information from the National Counter Terrorism Security Office on the *Procedures for handling bomb threats*  
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/bomb-threats-guidance/procedures-for-handling-bomb-threats>

- ▶ Where safe to do so, ensures candidates are given the opportunity to sit exams for their published duration

#### **Senior leader**

- ▶ Where responsible for the centre-wide emergency evacuation procedure, ensures all staff and appointed fire marshals are aware of the policy and procedures to be followed when an emergency evacuation of an exam room is required

#### **Additional learning support (ALS) lead/Special educational needs coordinator SENCo**

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place for the emergency evacuation of a disabled candidate from an exam room where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for the candidate
- ▶ Ensures the candidate is informed prior to taking their exams of what will happen in the event of an emergency evacuation

#### **Exams officer**

- ▶ Ensures invigilators are trained in emergency evacuation procedures and how an incident and actions taken must be recorded
- ▶ Ensures candidates are briefed (*Candidate exam handbook, assemblies and parents evenings*), prior to exams taking place, on what will happen in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- ▶ Provides invigilators with a copy of the emergency evacuation procedures for every exam room
- ▶ Provides a standard invigilator announcement for each exam room which includes appropriate instructions for candidates about emergency procedures and what will happen if the fire alarm sounds
- ▶ Provides an exam room incident log in each exam room
- ▶ Liaises with the ALS lead/SENCo and other relevant staff prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- ▶ Briefs invigilators prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- ▶ Ensures appropriate follow-up is undertaken after an emergency evacuation reporting the incident to the awarding body and the actions taken through the *special consideration* process where applicable (in cases where a group of candidates have been disadvantaged by a particular event)

#### **Invigilators**

- ▶ By attending training and/or update sessions, ensure they understand what to do in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- ▶ Follow the actions required in the emergency evacuation procedure issued to them for every exam room

- ▶ Confirm with the exams officer, where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate they are invigilating
- ▶ Record details on the exam room incident log to support follow-up reporting to the awarding body by the exams officer (see below)

#### **Other relevant centre staff**

- ▶ Support the senior leader, ALS lead/SENCo, exams officer and invigilators in ensuring the safe emergency evacuation of exam rooms

#### **Recording details**

As soon as practically possible and safe to do so, details should be recorded. Details must include:

- ▶ the actual time of the start of the interruption
- ▶ the actions taken
- ▶ the actual time the exam(s) resumed
- ▶ the actual finishing time(s) of the resumed exam(s)

Further details could include

- ▶ report on candidate behaviour throughout the interruption/evacuation
- ▶ a judgement on the impact on candidates after the interruption/evacuation

## Brunel School

### Emergency evacuation procedure for examinations

Invigilators are trained in this procedure and understand the actions they must take in the event of a fire alarm or other emergency that leads to an evacuation of the exam room.

Emergency evacuation procedure
<b>Actions to be taken</b> (as detailed in current JCQ <a href="#">Instructions for conducting examinations</a> section 25, <b>Emergencies</b> )
Stop the candidates from writing
Collect the attendance register (in order to ensure all candidates are present)
Evacuate the examination room in line with the instructions given by the appropriate authority
Advise candidates to leave all question papers and scripts in the examination room Candidates must be advised to close their answer booklet
Ensure candidates leave the room in silence
Ensure the candidates are supervised as closely as possible while they are out of the examination room to make sure there is no discussion about the examination
Make a note of the time of the interruption and how long it lasted
Allow the candidates the remainder of the working time set for the examination once it resumes
If there are only a few candidates, consider the possibility of taking the candidates (with question papers and scripts) to another place to finish the examination
Make a full report of the incident and of the action taken, and send to the relevant awarding body
<b>Additional centre-specific actions to be taken</b>

## Appendix 5 – Special Consideration Policy for Examinations

### What is a special consideration?

Special consideration is given to a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control **at the time of assessment**. It is applied when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on a candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Special consideration can go some way to assist a candidate affected by a potentially wide range of difficulties, emotional or physical, which may influence performance in their examinations. It cannot remove the difficulty faced by the candidate. This means that there will be some situations where candidates should not be entered for an examination. This is because only minor adjustments can be made to the mark awarded. To make larger adjustments would jeopardise the standard of the examination. (JCQ's **A guide to the special consideration process**, section 1)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [SC](#)

### Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to identify roles and responsibilities in the special consideration process and confirms that Brunel School will... submit any applications for special consideration where candidates meet the published criteria. (JCQ's [General regulations for approved centres](#), section 5.9)

### Eligibility for special consideration

#### Roles and responsibilities

##### Head of centre

- Is familiar with the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publication [SC](#)
- Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies by the exams officer

##### Exams officer

- Understands the criteria as detailed in [SC](#) to determine where candidates will/will not be eligible for special consideration
- Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies

##### Teaching staff and/or ALS lead/SENCo

- ▶ Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to determine a candidate's eligibility for special consideration.

## Candidates (or parents/carers)

- ▶ Provide any medical or other evidence that may be required to determine eligibility for special consideration

## Applying for special consideration

Where eligible, special consideration will be applied for at the time of the assessment where candidates have been fully prepared and have covered the whole course but performance in the examination, or in the production of controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment, is materially affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control. [\[SC 2\]](#)

For candidates who are present for the assessment but disadvantaged Brunel School must be satisfied that there has been a material detrimental effect on candidate examination performance or in the production of coursework or non-examination assessment [\[SC 3\]](#)

Examples are:

1. Where a candidate may arrive for an exam and is clearly unwell, extremely distressed and/or may have sustained an injury that requires emergency access arrangements to be put in place:
  - the candidate will be kept comfortable and under centre supervision from the required time while appropriate arrangements are put in place for the candidate to take the exam in the best possible conditions
  - a judgement will be made on how the candidate's situation or disposition affected performance in the exam
  - where appropriate and where eligible, special consideration will be applied for
2. Where candidates may be affected by a major disturbance in the exam room (emergency evacuation etc.), special consideration will be applied for on behalf of all candidates.
3. Where a candidate may be affected by a minor disturbance in the exam room caused by another candidate (momentary bad behaviour, mobile phone ringing etc.), special consideration cannot be applied for.

If a candidate is absent from a timetabled component/unit for acceptable reasons, and the centre can support this, special consideration will be applied for if the exam missed is in the terminal series and the *minimum requirements for enhanced grading in cases of acceptable absence* can be met. For unitised examinations taken in an examination series prior to certification, candidates must be re-entered for any missed units at the next assessment

opportunity. Unless there are difficulties arising, e.g. group performances which cannot be repeated, special consideration will not be awarded. [\[SC 4\]](#)

Where other issues or problems affect a candidate or a group of candidates, special consideration will be explored in [SC 5](#) and applied for where eligible. This might include, for example:

- other certification
- coursework/non-examination assessment extensions
- shortfall in work (coursework/non-examination assessment)
- lost or damaged work (non-examination assessment components)
- candidates undertaking the wrong controlled assessment or non-examination assessment assignment

Where a candidate may be eligible for special consideration (a post assessment adjustment) in a vocational qualification, the centre will follow [SC 7](#) and awarding body guidance to determine if, when and how an adjustment can be applied for.

## Processing applications for special consideration

### Roles and responsibilities

#### Head of centre

Ensures that all eligible applications will be supported by signed evidence produced by a member of the senior leadership team

#### Senior Leadership team

- Produce signed evidence in support of all eligible applications

#### Exams officer

- Understands that special consideration must be applied for at the time of the assessment
- Understands that special consideration cannot be applied in a cumulative fashion and that where a candidate may be affected by different indispositions, special consideration should only be applied for the most serious indisposition
- Ensures applications will be processed as required by the awarding bodies
- Keeps evidence to support all applications on file until after the publication of results and provides the signed evidence provided by a member of the senior leadership team to support an application where this may be requested by an awarding body

Meets the required deadline(s) for submitting applications

#### Teaching staff and/or ALS lead/SENCo

- Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to support a candidate's application for special consideration

## Candidates (or parents/carers)

- Will be asked to provide any required medical or other evidence that may be required to support an application for special consideration
- Will be informed that all cases must be dealt with by the centre

## Submitting applications for special consideration

Where a candidate or group of candidates is/are eligible for special consideration, applications will be submitted to the relevant awarding body following the published processes in [SC](#).

[Evidence to support applications will be kept on file until after the publication of results.](#)

### Timetabled written exams

- For GCE and GCSE qualifications, applications for individual candidates will be submitted online by logging into the relevant awarding body secure extranet site and following the links to special consideration
- The processes for submitting a single application to cover all exams affected *where a candidate is present but disadvantaged* and a separate application for each day on which exams are missed *where a candidate is absent from an examination for an acceptable reason* detailed in [SC 6](#) will be followed
- For other qualifications, applications will be submitted online where the awarding body's secure system accepts these
- The paper [form 10 Application for special consideration](#) will only be completed and submitted to the awarding body where the online system does not accept applications for a particular qualification
- For cases involving groups of candidates, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts group applications or form 10 will be completed
- The paper [form 14 Self certification form](#) (Self certification for candidates who have missed an examination) will only be completed by a candidate where circumstances warrant this and will not be used where the centre knows the candidate was ill

### Internally assessed work

- Where appropriate, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts them or form 10 will be completed and submitted to the awarding body
- Where a short extension to a work submission deadline for an individual is being requested, the awarding body will be contacted directly
- Where an application relates to a shortfall in work, this will be submitted online or by completing form 10, dependent on the awarding body.